

[Home](http://iopscience.iop.org/) [Search](http://iopscience.iop.org/search) [Collections](http://iopscience.iop.org/collections) [Journals](http://iopscience.iop.org/journals) [About](http://iopscience.iop.org/page/aboutioppublishing) [Contact us](http://iopscience.iop.org/contact) [My IOPscience](http://iopscience.iop.org/myiopscience)

Optical properties of an isotropic layer on a uniaxial crystal substrate

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1992 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 4 6569

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/4/31/009)

View [the table of contents for this issue](http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/4/31), or go to the [journal homepage](http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984) for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.159 The article was downloaded on 12/05/2010 at 12:26

Please note that [terms and conditions apply.](http://iopscience.iop.org/page/terms)

Optical properties of an isotropic layer on a uniaxial crystal substrate

John Lekner

Deparlment of Physics, Mctoria University of Wellington, Wellington, New Zealand

Received 25 February 1992, in final form 22 May 1992

Abstract. The optical properties **of** a homogeneous isotropic layer **on** an anisotropic uniaxial crystal are characterized by four reflection amplitudes $(r_{ss}, r_{sp}, r_{pp}, r_{ps})$ and four transmission amplitudes $(t_{so}, t_{se}, t_{po}, t_{pe})$. We give analytic expressions for these amplitudes. Some recent experiments relating **lo he** geophysically imporiant phenomenon *of* the surface melting **of** ice **below OT are** discussed. *'ne* **wenk** anisotropy **of** ice **is** amplified a hundredfold by index marching (the refractive indices of ice and water are not **wry** different), but it **is** still qualitatively correct Io interpret the experiments **by** assuming ice to be isotropic. An appendix gives the theory of what is measured in polarization modulation ellipsometry when anisotropy is present, and another appendix discusses the enhancement of anisotropy by refractive index matching.

1. Introduction

The optical properties of a homogeneous isotropic layer on an isotropic substrate are well known (see for example Born and Wolf (1965), section 1.6.4, or Lekner (1987), section 2-4). They may be characterized by two reflection amplitudes r_s and r_p , and two transmission amplitudes t_s and t_p . When the isotropic layer rests on an anisotropic substrate, the currently available 4×4 matrix method (see, for example, Wohler *ef a1* (1988) or Eidner *et a1* (1989) for recent work and further references) may be used to evaluate numerically the four reflection amplitudes r_{ss} , r_{sp} , r_{pp} , r_{ps} and the four transmission amplitudes $t_{\rm so}$, $t_{\rm se}$, $t_{\rm no}$, $t_{\rm pe}$. In two recent papers (Lekner 1991, **1992a)** the author has given analytic expressions for the optical coeflicients of uniaxial **crystals,** and of crystal plates illuminated at normal incidence. Here we extend these results to give analytic expressions for the optical coefficients of an isotropic layer on a uniaxial crystal substrate.

The isotropic layer has dielectric constant $\epsilon = n^2$, and is bounded by the medium of incidence $(\epsilon_1 = n_1^2)$ and the uniaxial substrate $(\epsilon_0 = n_0^2, \epsilon_0 = n_0^2)$, at $z = 0$ and $z = \Delta z$ respectively. The plane of incidence is taken as the zx plane. The direction cosines of the optic axis of the uniaxial substrate with respect to the x , y and z axes are α , β and γ ; thus $c = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is the unit vector giving the direction of the optic axis.

We consider reflection and transmission of a plane monochromatic wave *of* angular frequency ω , incident from medium 1 at angle θ_1 to the normal. In the three media (medium of incidence, the layer, and the anisotropic substrate), all components 6570 J *Lekner*

of the electric and magnetic vectors will have dependence on x and t contained in the factor $\exp i(Kx - \omega t)$, where

$$
K = n_1(\omega/c)\sin\theta_1 = n(\omega/c)\sin\theta \tag{1}
$$

is the x-component of all the wavevectors, and θ is the angle to the normal in the isotropic layer. The ycomponent of all the wavevectors is **zero,** by choice of the plane of incidence as the zx -plane, and by the invariance of the system with respect to a y -translation. The z -component of the wavevector of the incident wave is

$$
q_1 = n_1(\omega/c) \cos \theta_1 \tag{2}
$$

and it is $-q_1$ for the reflected wave, and $\pm q$ for the two plane waves in the layer, where

$$
q^2 = \epsilon \omega^2 / c^2 - K^2 \equiv k^2 - K^2. \tag{3}
$$

Within the crystal substrate two plane waves can propagate. For uniaxial crystals these are known as the *ordinary* and *extraordinary* waves, and have *z*-components of their Wavevectors given by

$$
q_o^2 = \epsilon_o \omega^2 / c^2 - K^2 \equiv k_o^2 - K^2 \tag{4}
$$

for the ordinary wave, and

$$
q_e = \overline{q} - \alpha \gamma K \Delta \epsilon / \epsilon_{\gamma}
$$
 (5)

where

$$
\Delta \epsilon = \epsilon_{e} - \epsilon_{o} \qquad \epsilon_{\gamma} = n_{\gamma}^{2} = \epsilon_{o} + \gamma^{2} \Delta \epsilon \qquad \overline{q}^{2} = \epsilon_{o} [\epsilon_{e} \epsilon_{\gamma} \omega^{2} / c^{2} - K^{2} (\epsilon_{e} - \beta^{2} \Delta \epsilon)] / \epsilon_{\gamma}^{2}.
$$
\n(6)

The electric field wctor of the ordinary wave is

$$
E = N_o(-\beta q_o, \alpha q_o - \gamma K, \beta K)
$$
 (7)

and is perpendicular to the optic **axis** and to the ordinary wavevector *(A', 0, q,).* The electric field of the extraordinary wave is

$$
E_{\rm e} = N_{\rm e} \left(\alpha q_{\rm o}^2 - \gamma q_{\rm e} K, \beta k_{\rm o}^2, \gamma (k_{\rm o}^2 - q_{\rm e}^2) - \alpha q_{\rm e} K \right). \tag{8}
$$

 $N_{\rm o}$ and $N_{\rm e}$ are normalization factors: we will normalize $E_{\rm o}$ and $E_{\rm e}$ to unit amplitude, *so* that $|E_0|^2 = 1 = |E_e|^2$.

The plan of the remainder of this paper is as follows. In section *2* we wite down the equations determining the reflection and transmission amplitudes, and a 2×2 matrix method for their solution. In section 3 we consider the normal-incidence case, for which the system is characterized by just two reflection and two transmission amplitudes, which take a particularly simple form. **In** section **4** we consider general oblique incidence. These results are applied in section 5 to experiments on the surface melting of ice. **In** the appendices we give a theoretical analysis of what is measured by polarization modulation ellipsometry, and of the enhancement of the anisotropy by index matching between the overlayer and the substrate.

 $e^{-iq_1z}(r_{\rm sn}\cos\theta_1, r_{\rm ss}, r_{\rm sn}\sin\theta_1)$

2. The equations for the optical coeficients

incident $(0, e^{iq_1 z}, 0)$

reflected

An incoming plane wave may be taken **as ^a**superposition of s- and p-polarized waves with appropriate amplitudes and phases. The s and p polarizations have E_1 respectively perpendicular and parallel to the plane of incidence (here the xz -plane). We consider the reflection and transmission of pure s and pure p incident polarizations, starting with the s polarization. The electric field components in the s-polarized case, with the common factor $\exp i(Kx - \omega t)$ suppressed, are

within layer $(\cos \theta (ae^{iqz} + be^{-iqz}), Ae^{iqz} + Be^{-iqz}, -\sin \theta (ae^{iqz} - be^{-iqz}))$ within crystal $t_{\alpha}E_{\alpha}e^{i q_{\alpha}(z-\Delta z)} + t_{\alpha}E_{\alpha}e^{i q_{\alpha}(z-\Delta z)}$. *(9)* The wavefunction within the layer has the property that the downward-propagating

part has its Poynting vector (proportional to $E \times B$) along $(K, 0, q)$, while the upward-propagating part has $E \times B$ along $(K, 0, -q)$, with proportionality constants $A^2 + a^2$ and $B^2 + b^2$, respectively. These results follow on using the identity

$$
q\cos\theta + K\sin\theta = n\omega/c = k\tag{10}
$$

which comes from $K = k \sin \theta$, $q = k \cos \theta$.

The wavefunctions (9) contain the eight unknowns r_{ss} , r_{sp} , A, B, a, b, t_{so} , $t_{\rm so}$, and the eight conditions determining them follow from the continuity of the tangential components of E and B at $z = 0$ and at $z = \Delta z$. The continuity of E_u , E_x , $\partial E_y/\partial z$, and $\partial E_x/\partial z$ – i KE , at $z = 0$ gives the equations

$$
1 + r_{ss} = A + B \t r_{sp} \cos \theta_1 = (a + b) \cos \theta
$$

$$
q_1(1 - r_{ss}) = q(A - B) \t -k_1 r_{sp} = k(a - b).
$$
 (11)

The same conditions at $z = \Delta z$, with the notation

$$
A' = Ae^{iq\Delta z} \qquad B' = Be^{-iq\Delta z} \qquad a' = ae^{iq\Delta z} \qquad b' = be^{-iq\Delta z} \qquad (12)
$$

and with $E = (X, Y, Z)$ for the ordinary and extraordinary modes, give

$$
A' + B' = t_{so}Y_o + t_{se}Y_e
$$

\n
$$
(a' + b')\cos\theta = t_{so}X_o + t_{se}X_e
$$

\n
$$
q(A' - B') = t_{so}q_oY_o + t_{se}q_eY_e
$$

\n
$$
k(a' - b') = t_{so}(q_oX_o - KZ_o) + t_{se}(q_eX_e - KZ_e).
$$
\n(13)

We will give two solutions of this system of eight equations: a 2×2 matrix method modelled on Lekner (1992a) which will prove particularly simple at normal incidence, and an algebraic method that puts the solutions into a more physically revealing form at general incidence. The 2×2 matrix method is given here. We define the vectors

$$
u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad r = \begin{pmatrix} r_{\rm ss} \\ r_{\rm sp} \end{pmatrix} \qquad s = \begin{pmatrix} A + B \\ a + b \end{pmatrix} \qquad d = \begin{pmatrix} A - B \\ a - b \end{pmatrix} \tag{14}
$$

and the diagonal cosine matrices

$$
\mathbf{C}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta_1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \tag{15}
$$

Then the equation set (11) can be written **as**

$$
u + C^{-1}C_1r = s \qquad (q_1/q)(u - CC_1^{-1}r) = d. \qquad (16)
$$

For the set of equations resulting from the continuity of the tangential components of *E* and *B* at $z = \Delta z$, we define the vectors

$$
s' = \begin{pmatrix} A' + B' \\ a' + b' \end{pmatrix} \qquad d' = \begin{pmatrix} A' - B' \\ a' - b' \end{pmatrix} \qquad t = \begin{pmatrix} t_{\rm so} \\ t_{\rm se} \end{pmatrix} \tag{17}
$$

and the matrices

$$
\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{\mathbf{o}} & Y_{\mathbf{e}} \\ X_{\mathbf{o}} & X_{\mathbf{e}} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{N} = \begin{pmatrix} q_{\mathbf{o}} Y_{\mathbf{o}} & q_{\mathbf{e}} Y_{\mathbf{e}} \\ q_{\mathbf{o}} X_{\mathbf{o}} - K Z_{\mathbf{o}} & q_{\mathbf{e}} X_{\mathbf{e}} - K Z_{\mathbf{e}} \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (18)

Then the equation set **(13)** can be written as

$$
Cs' = Mt \t qC^{-1}d' = Nt. \t(19)
$$

The vectors s' and d' are linear combinations of s and d :

$$
s' = \cos q \,\Delta z \, s + i \sin q \,\Delta z \, d \qquad d' = \cos q \,\Delta z \, d + i \sin q \,\Delta z \, s. \tag{20}
$$

The equations (19) give

$$
t = M^{-1}Cs' = qN^{-1}C^{-1}d'.
$$
 (21)

On substituting for s' and d' using (20) and (16), we obtain a linear equation for r in terms of *u* which has the form $V_r = W_u$, with

$$
\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{N}^{-1}(cq_1\mathbf{C}_1^{-1} - isq\mathbf{C}^{-2}\mathbf{C}_1) + q^{-1}\mathbf{M}^{-1}(cq\mathbf{C}_1 - isq_1\mathbf{C}^2\mathbf{C}_1^{-1})
$$

\n
$$
\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{N}^{-1}\mathbf{C}^{-1}(cq_1 + isq) - q^{-1}\mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{C}(cq + isq_1)
$$
\n(22)

where $c = \cos q \Delta z$ and $s = \sin q \Delta z$. Thus

$$
r = V^{-1} W u \equiv R u \tag{23}
$$

may be obtained by inversion and multiplication of 2×2 matrices. Explicit and beautifully simple results follow from this formulation at normal incidence, as will be demonstrated in the next section, but **we** must first discuss the case of incident p polarization.

For p-polarized incident light, the electric field components are

The continuity of E_y , E_x , $\partial E_y/\partial z$, $\partial E_x/\partial z$ - **i**KE_z at $z = 0$ implies

$$
r_{\rm ps} = A + B \qquad (1 + r_{\rm pp}) \cos \theta_1 = (a + b) \cos \theta
$$

- $q_1 r_{\rm ps} = q(A - B) \qquad k_1 (1 - r_{\rm pp}) = k(a - b).$ (25)

At $z = \Delta z$ the boundary conditions give the same equations (13) as for an incoming **s** polarization, with t_{po} replacing t_{so} and t_{pe} replacing t_{se} . A 2 \times 2 matrix solution is **as** follows: we introduce the vectors

$$
\mathbf{r}' = \begin{pmatrix} r_{\text{ps}} \\ r_{\text{pp}} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{t}' = \begin{pmatrix} t_{\text{po}} \\ t_{\text{pe}} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{26}
$$

with all other vectors and matrices defined as above. Then the first and second pairs in (25) read

$$
s = C^{-1}C_1(v + r') \qquad d = q_1 q^{-1} C C_1^{-1}(v - r'). \qquad (27)
$$

The remainder of the solution proceeds **as** before, with the rcsult

$$
r' = V^{-1}W'v \equiv R'v \tag{28}
$$

where V is as defined in (22) , and

$$
\mathbf{W}' = \mathbf{N}^{-1} (cq_1 \mathbf{C}_1^{-1} + isq \mathbf{C}^{-2} \mathbf{C}_1) - q^{-1} \mathbf{M}^{-1} (cq \mathbf{C}_1 + isq_1 \mathbf{C}^2 \mathbf{C}_1^{-1}).
$$
 (29)

Equations (23) and (28) give the reflection amplitudes in terms of the 2×2 matrices **R** and **R'.** The transmission amplitudes can be found in terms of the same two matrices: we obtain

$$
t = q^{-1}M^{-1}[cq(C + C_1R) + isq_1(C - C^2C_1^{-1}R)]u
$$

\n
$$
t' = q^{-1}M^{-1}[cq(C_1 + C_1R') + isq_1(C^2C_1^{-1} - C^2C_1^{-1}R')]v.
$$
\n(30)

We shall next use these results to obtain simple formulae for the reflection and transmission amplitudes at normal incidence.

3. Normal incidence

At normal incidence $(K \to 0)$ we have

$$
q_1 \to k_1 \qquad q \to k \qquad q_\circ \to k_\circ \qquad q_\circ \to k_\circ = k_\circ n_\circ / n_\gamma. \tag{31}
$$

The ordinary and extraordinary modes within the uniaxial crystal also simplify (Lekner (1991), section **5.4):**

$$
E_{\rm o} \to N_{\rm o}(-\beta, \alpha, 0) \qquad E_{\rm e} \to N_{\rm e}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma(1 - \epsilon_{\rm e}/\epsilon_{\gamma})). \tag{32}
$$

The cosine matrices, defined in **(15).** reduce to the identity matrix. The **M** matrix and the **N** matrix, defined in **(IS),** can be witten **as**

$$
\mathsf{M} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} N_o & 0 \\ 0 & N_e \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathsf{N} \rightarrow \mathsf{M} \begin{pmatrix} k_o & 0 \\ 0 & k_e \end{pmatrix} \tag{33}
$$

We then find that the matrix **R** in $r = Ru$ simplifies to

$$
\mathsf{R} = (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 r_o + \beta^2 r_e & \alpha \beta (r_e - r_o) \\ \alpha \beta (r_e - r_o) & \alpha^2 r_e + \beta^2 r_o \end{pmatrix}
$$
(34)

where

$$
r_{\rm o} = \frac{k(k_1 - k_{\rm o})\cos k \Delta z + i(k^2 - k_1 k_{\rm o})\sin k \Delta z}{k(k_1 + k_{\rm o})\cos k \Delta z - i(k^2 + k_1 k_{\rm o})\sin k \Delta z}
$$
(35)

and the formula for r_e is obtained by replacing k_o by k_e in (35). We recognize r_0 and r_e as the normal-incidence reflection amplitudes for an isotropic layer on *isotropic* substrates of refractive index n_0 and $n_0 n_e/n_v$, respectively (see Lekner **(1987),** equation (252)).

Thus

$$
r_{ss} = (\alpha^2 r_o + \beta^2 r_e) / (\alpha^2 + \beta^2) = r_o \cos^2 \phi + r_e \sin^2 \phi
$$

\n
$$
r_{sp} = \alpha \beta (r_e - r_o) / (\alpha^2 + \beta^2) = (r_o - r_e) \cos \phi \sin \phi
$$
\n(36)

where ϕ is the angle between the E_0 direction and the incident field E_1 . For p polarization incident, the matrix *R'* is equal to *R* **as** given in **(34)** for normal incidence. Thus

$$
r_{\rm ps} = \alpha \beta (r_{\rm e} - r_{\rm o}) / (\alpha^2 + \beta^2) = (r_{\rm o} - r_{\rm e}) \cos \phi \sin \phi
$$

\n
$$
r_{\rm pp} = (\alpha^2 r_{\rm e} + \beta^2 r_{\rm o}) / (\alpha^2 + \beta^2) = r_{\rm e} \cos^2 \phi + r_{\rm o} \sin^2 \phi.
$$
\n(37)

In the limit of zero thickness of the layer $(\Delta z \rightarrow 0)$, these formulae reduce to the reflection amplitudes for a bare crystal, **as** given in Lekner (1991). equations **(71) to (73).**

Just as \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{r}' , which have as components the four reflection amplitudes r_{ss} , $r_{\rm{so}}, r_{\rm{os}}, r_{\rm{oo}}$ can be expressed (at normal incidence) in terms of the two amplitudes r_o and r_e , so can t and t', which have the transmission amplitudes t_{so} , t_{se} , t_{no} , t_{pe} as components, be expressed in terms of t_o and t_e , which are the transmission amplitudes for a layer of thickness Δz on isotropic substrates of indices n_0 and $n_{\rm o}n_{\rm e}/n_{\gamma}$:

$$
t_o = k^{-1}[k(1+r_o)\cos k\,\Delta z + i k_1(1-r_o)\sin k\,\Delta z]
$$

= 2k_1k/[k(k_1+k_o)\cos k\,\Delta z - i(k^2+k_1k_o)\sin k\,\Delta z] (38)

 $(t_e$ is obtained by replacing k_o with k_e in (38)). We find that *t* and *t'* can be written **as**

$$
t = \mathsf{T}u \qquad t' = \mathsf{T}v \tag{39}
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{T} = (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} N_o^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & N_e^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t_o & 0 \\ 0 & t_e \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
= (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha N_o^{-1} t_o & -\beta N_o^{-1} t_o \\ \beta N_e^{-1} t_e & \alpha N_e^{-1} t_e \end{pmatrix} . \tag{40}
$$

Thus

$$
t_{\rm so} = \alpha N_{\rm o}^{-1} t_{\rm o} / (\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \qquad t_{\rm se} = \beta N_{\rm e}^{-1} t_{\rm e} / (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)
$$

\n
$$
t_{\rm po} = -\beta N_{\rm o}^{-1} t_{\rm o} / (\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \qquad t_{\rm pe} = \alpha N_{\rm e}^{-1} t_{\rm e} / (\alpha^2 + \beta^2).
$$
 (41)

In the limit of zero thickness of the layer, the formulae (41) reduce to the direct transmission amplitudes into a uniaxial crystal, as given in Lekner (1991), equations (78) and **(79).**

Since the reflection and transmission properties at normal incidence are entirely determined by the amplitudes r_o , r_e and t_o , t_e , we will note the behaviour of the latter as a function of the layer thickness Δz . Let

$$
f_1 = (k_1 - k)/(k_1 + k) \qquad f_o = (k - k_o)/(k + k_o) \qquad f_e = (k - k_e)/(k + k_e)
$$
\n(42)

be the Fresnel s-wave reflection amplitudes at the $z = 0$ and $z = \Delta z$ faces of the isotropic layer, in the latter case for substrates of refractive index n_0 and $n_0 n_e/n_v$. Then r_0 and r_e can be written as

$$
r_o = (f_1 + f_o Z)/(1 + f_1 f_o Z) \qquad r_e = (f_1 + f_e Z)/(1 + f_1 f_e Z) \tag{43}
$$

where $Z = \exp(2ik\Delta z)$. As Δz increases, Z moves on the unit circle in the complex plane, and since r_0 and r_e are related to Z by a bilinear transformation, they also move on circles in the complex plane. The period in Δz of all the motions is π/k . (If the isotropic layer were absorbing, the motions would not be periodic, but spirals converging onto the origin.) The properties of the loci of r_o , r_e and t_o , *t,,* are **as** follows (cf Lekner (1992a), sections 4 and *5):* when **all** the media are non-absorbing, the circles r_o and r_e are symmetric with respect to reflection in the real axis. Thus their radii and centres may be found from the intersections with the real axis at $Z = \pm 1$. At $Z = +1$, r_0 and r_e take the zero-thickness values

$$
r_o^+ = (k_1 - k_o)/(k_1 + k_o) \qquad r_e^+ = (k_1 - k_e)/(k_1 + k_e) \tag{44}
$$

while at $Z = -1$, r_0 becomes

$$
r_{\rm o}^- = (f_1 - f_{\rm o})/(1 - f_1 f_{\rm o}) = (k_1 k_{\rm o} - k^2)/(k_1 k_{\rm o} + k^2)
$$
 (45)

(we omit the e versions for the remainder of **this** section-they are obtained by replacing k_0 by k_e in the formulae). Thus the centre and radius of the locus of r_0 are given by

$$
c_{\rm o} = (r_{\rm o}^+ + r_{\rm o}^-)/2 \qquad a_{\rm o} = (r_{\rm o}^+ - r_{\rm o}^-)/2. \tag{46}
$$

The transmission amplitude t_0 can be written as

$$
t_o = (1 + f_1)(1 + f_o)\zeta/(1 + f_1 f_o \zeta^2)
$$
\n(47)

where $\zeta = \exp(ik \Delta z)$. As Δz increases, t_0 moves on a quartic in the complex plane, repeating with period $2\pi/k$ in Δz . The equation of the quartic is found by eliminating ζ from (47), using $\zeta \zeta^* = 1$. If we write $t_0 = X + iY$, the quartic is

$$
(X2 + Y2)2 = (to+ X)2 + (toi Y)2
$$
 (48)

where $\pm t_0^+$ is the value of t_0 at $\zeta = \pm 1$, and $\pm i t_0^i$ is the value at $\zeta = \pm i$:

$$
t_o^+ = 2k_1/(k_1 + k_o) \qquad t_o^1 = 2k_1k/(k_1k_o + k^2). \tag{49}
$$

The reciprocal t_0^{-1} moves on an ellipse, with semiaxes $(t_0^+)^{-1}$ and $(t_0^+)^{-1}$. These results are closely analogous to those for a uniaxial crystal plate upon an isotropic substrate, discussed in Lekner (1992a).

4 Oblique incidence

Although the 2×2 matrix solution gives beautifully simple results at normal incidence, I have found it more fruitful to work directly with the original boundary condition equations **(11)** and (13) at general incidence. Considcr the equations expressing the continuity of E_u and $\partial E_u/\partial z$ at $z=0$, namely

$$
1 + r_{ss} = A + B \t 1 - r_{ss} = q_1^{-1} q(A - B). \t (50)
$$

These may be solved for r_{ss} in terms of B/A :

$$
r_{\rm ss} = \frac{q_1 - q + (q_1 + q)B/A}{q_1 + q + (q_1 - q)B/A} = \frac{f_1 + B/A}{1 + f_1 B/A} \tag{51}
$$

where $f_1 = (q_1 - q)/(q_1 + q)$ is the oblique incidence Fresnel s-wave reflection amplitude for the boundary between the medium of incidcnce and the layer. The continuity of E_y and $\partial E_y/\partial z$ at Δz gives a pair of equations (the first and third of (13)) which may be solved for B/A :

$$
\frac{B}{A} = g \exp(2iq \Delta z) \qquad g = \frac{(q - q_0)t_{so}Y_o + (q - q_e)t_{se}Y_e}{(q + q_o)t_{so}Y_o + (q + q_e)t_{se}Y_e}.
$$
 (52)

Thus the expression for *rss* may be put into the form of the s-wave reflection amplitude r_s for a layer on an isotropic substrate (medium 2):

$$
r_{\rm s} = (f_1 + f_2 Z)/(1 + f_1 f_2 Z) \qquad r_{\rm ss} = (f_1 + g Z)/(1 + f_1 g Z) \tag{53}
$$

where $f_2 = (q - q_2)/(q + q_2)$ and $Z = \exp(2iq \Delta z)$ (compare Lekner (1987), equation (2.58)). Note that $g \to f_2$ when the substrate becomes isotropic $(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_{\epsilon} \to$ equation (2.58)). Note that $g \to f_2$ when the substrate becomes isotropic (ϵ_0 , $\epsilon_0 \to \epsilon_2$), and then $r_{ss} \to r_s$.

To evaluate g we need the ratio of transmission amplitudes, $\tau_s = t_{se}/t_{so}$. From the two equations involving the coefficients α and β in (11) we find

$$
a/b = (Q_1 - Q)/(Q_1 + Q) = -F_1
$$
\n(54)

where $Q_1 = q_1/\epsilon_1$, $Q = q/\epsilon$ and F_1 is the Fresnel p-wave reflection amplitude at the $z = 0$ boundary of the layer. From the second and third equations of (13) we find

$$
(a/b)Z = a'/b' = (S_o + \tau_s S_e)/(D_o + \tau_s D_e)
$$
 (55)

where

$$
S_{\rm o} = (k^2 + qq_{\rm o})X_{\rm o} - qKZ_{\rm o} \qquad D_{\rm o} = (k^2 - qq_{\rm o})X_{\rm o} + qKZ_{\rm o} \quad (56)
$$

with S_e and D_e similarly defined. From (54) and (55) we obtain $\tau_s = t_{se}/t_{so}$:

$$
\tau_{s} = -(S_{o} + D_{o}F_{1}Z)/(S_{e} + D_{e}F_{1}Z)
$$
\n(57)

and hence *g* in terms of known quantities:

$$
g = [(q - q_0)Y_0 + (q - q_e)Y_e \tau_s]/[(q + q_0)Y_0 + (q + q_e)Y_e \tau_s].
$$
 (58)

Just as r_{ss} can be put into the form that r_s takes for an isotropic substrate, so r_{pp} can be put into the form that r_{p} takes in that case:

$$
r_{\rm p} = (F_1 + F_2 Z)/(1 + F_1 F_2 Z) \qquad r_{\rm pp} = (F_1 + GZ)/(1 + F_1 GZ) \tag{59}
$$

where F_1 and F_2 are the Fresnel reflection amplitudes for p waves at the $z = 0$ and $z = \Delta z$ interfaces. (F_1 was defined in (54) and $F_2 = (Q_2 - Q)/(Q_2 + Q)$ where $Q_2 = q_2/\epsilon_2$, ϵ_2 being the dielectric constant of the isotropic substrate.) The form (59) for r_{pp} follows from the second and fourth equations (25), with

$$
G = b'/a' = (b/a)Z^{-1} = (D_o + \tau_p D_e)/(S_o + \tau_p S_e).
$$
 (60)

From the other p-wave equations we find the value of $r_p = t_{pe}/t_{po}$:

$$
\tau_{\rm p} = -\left\{ \left[q + q_{\rm o} + (q - q_{\rm o}) f_1 Z \right] Y_{\rm o} \right\} / \left\{ \left[q + q_{\rm e} + (q - q_{\rm e}) f_1 Z \right] Y_{\rm e} \right\} \tag{61}
$$

having used the fact that

$$
B/A = -f_1^{-1} = g'Z
$$
 (62)

where g' has the same form as g in (58), with τ_p replacing τ_s . For an isotropic substrate we have $G \to F_2$, and thus $r_{\text{pp}} \to r_p$.

Figure 1 shows the paths of r_{ss} , r_{pp} , r_{sp} and r_{ps} in the complex plane, for fixed angle of incidence and variable thickness Δz of the isotropic layer. The paths repeat after thickness π/q , since all the reflection amplitudes are functions of the thickness via $Z = \exp(2iq \Delta z)$. As the thickness increases, Z moves on the unit circle in the complex plane. The loci are close to circles, which indicates that the functions $g(Z)$ and $G(Z)$ are nearly independent of the layer thickness. (For an functions $g(Z)$ and $G(Z)$ are nearly independent of the layer thickness. (For an isotropic substrate $g \to f_2$ and $G \to F_2$, and $r_{ss} \to r_s = (f_1 + f_2 Z)/(1 + f_1 f_2 Z)$, **r**
 r_{pp} \rightarrow r_p = (F_1 + F_2Z)/(1 + F_1F_2Z), r_{sp} and r_{ps} \rightarrow 0; the r_s and r_p loci are then exact circles.) Note that the r_{pp} locus moves across the origin as the angle of incidence increases. This implies that there are two angles at which r_{pp} can be zero: the Brewster angle of the substrate, for which $F_1 + G(1) = 0$, and another angle at

Figure 1. Loci of r_{ss} , r_{pp} , r_{sp} and r_{ps} in the complex plane, for variable thickness Δz of the isotropic layer. The curves are drawn for air/water/calcite at 30[°] and 60' angle of incidence. The calcite optic axis is taken to make equal angles with x , y and *z* axes (α , β and γ all take the value $1/\sqrt{3}$). The refractive indices (at 633 nm) are $n = 1.3327$, $n_0 = 1.655$, $n_0 = 1.485$. The paths repeat with period π/q in Δz . **nis is 256 nm** at **30' and 312 nm at** *M'* **for 673 nm** light **incident on water from air. Zero-thickness values are indicated by a dot; arrows indicate the direction of increasing thickness.**

which $F_1 - G(-1) = 0$. The corresponding values that Δz must have for r_{pp} to be zero are integer $\times \pi/q$ and odd integer $\times \pi/2q$, respectively.

The cross-reflection amplitudes r_{sp} and r_{ps} may be obtained from the boundary conditions on using the values for r_{ss} , τ_s and r_{pp} , τ_p given above. We find, after **some reduction,**

$$
r_{sp} = \frac{8k_1Q_1q^2k_0^2}{(q_1+q)(Q_1+Q)} \frac{\beta(\alpha q_o + \gamma K)(q_e - q_o)N_oN_eZ}{(1+f_1gZ)D_{sp}}
$$

$$
r_{ps} = \frac{8k_1Q_1z^2k_0^2}{(q_1+q)(Q_1+Q)} \frac{\beta(\alpha q_o - \gamma K)(q_e - q_o)N_oN_eZ}{(1+F_1GZ)D_{ps}}
$$
 (63)

where the denominators D_{sp} and D_{ps} are linear in Z:

$$
D_{\rm sp} = (q + q_{\rm e})Y_{\rm e}S_{\rm o} - (q + q_{\rm o})Y_{\rm o}S_{\rm e} + F_{1}Z[(q + q_{\rm e})Y_{\rm e}D_{\rm o} - (q + q_{\rm o})Y_{\rm o}D_{\rm e}]
$$

\n
$$
D_{\rm ps} = (q + q_{\rm e})Y_{\rm e}S_{\rm o} - (q + q_{\rm o})Y_{\rm o}S_{\rm e} + f_{1}Z[(q - q_{\rm e})Y_{\rm e}S_{\rm o} - (q - q_{\rm o})Y_{\rm o}S_{\rm e}].
$$
\n(64)

When $Z = \exp(2iq\Delta z)$ is unity, these formulae reduce to the bare crystal values (denoted by a bar in this paper)

$$
\overline{r}_{sp} = 2\beta(\alpha q_o + \gamma K)(q_e - q_o)k_1 k_o^2 N_o N_e/D
$$

\n
$$
\overline{r}_{ps} = 2\beta(\alpha q_o - \gamma K)(q_e - q_o)k_1 k_o^2 N_o N_e/D
$$
\n(65)

where *D* is the common denominator of the reflection and transmission amplitudes **(Lekner (1991), formulae (35) and (47)).** Similarly r_{ss} and r_{pp} reduce to \overline{r}_{ss} and \overline{r}_{pp} as given by Lekner (1991), equations (34) and (42), when $Z = 1$. At normal incidence the formulae of the previous section are regained.

It **is** interesting that the ratio of the s to p and p to s reflection amplitudes is not affected by the presence of the isotropic layer on the crystal. This follows from the identity

$$
(1 + f_1 g Z) D_{\rm sp} = (1 + F_1 G Z) D_{\rm ps}.
$$
 (66)

Thus the two complex numbers $r_{\rm{so}}$ and $r_{\rm{os}}$ have a real ratio (and so lie on a common radius in the complex plane). From (66) and (63) we have that

$$
r_{\rm sp}/r_{\rm ps} = (\alpha q_{\rm o} + \gamma K)/(\alpha q_{\rm o} - \gamma K) \tag{67}
$$

which is the same ratio that is obtained on reflection from the bare crystal. Note that $r_{\rm{sp}} = r_{\rm{ps}}$ at normal incidence, and also when the optic axis lies in the reflecting plane $(\gamma = 0)$.

At grazing incidence q_1 and Q_1 tend to zero. Thus $f_1 = (q_1 - q)/(q_1 + q) \rightarrow -1$ and $F_1 = (\bar{Q} - Q_1)/(Q + Q_1) \rightarrow 1$. It follows from (53) and (59) that $r_{ss} \rightarrow -1$ and $r_{\text{pp}} \rightarrow 1$ at grazing incidence. (For isotropic media it is a general theorem that $r_s \rightarrow -1$ and $r_p \rightarrow 1$: see Lekner (1987), section 2-3.) From (63) we see that the cross-reflection amplitudes r_{sp} and r_{ps} both tend to zero as $\theta_1 \rightarrow 90^\circ$.

At normal incidence $r_{sp} = r_{ps}$, but the result that $r_p = r_s$ at $\theta_1 = 0$ ^o for isotropic media does not generalize to $r_{\rm pp} = r_{\rm ss}$: see section 3.

The transmission amplitudes are obtained in a similar way to the reflection amplitudes. We **will** just state the results:

$$
t_{\rm so} = -2q(S_{\rm e} + D_{\rm e}F_1Z)A_{\rm s}e^{iq\Delta z}/D_{\rm sp} \qquad t_{\rm se} = 2q(S_{\rm o} + D_{\rm o}F_1Z)A_{\rm s}e^{iq\Delta z}/D_{\rm sp}
$$
(68)

where A_s is the value of the coefficient A in (11) and (13),

$$
A_{s} = 2q_{1}/[(q_{1} + q)(1 + f_{1}gZ)].
$$
\n(69)

The transmission amplitudes for the p wave incident are

$$
t_{\rm po} = 2k_1q[q + q_{\rm e} + (q - q_{\rm e})f_1Z]Y_{\rm e}A_{\rm p}e^{iq\Delta z}/D_{\rm ps}
$$

\n
$$
t_{\rm pe} = -2k_1q[q + q_{\rm o} + (q - q_{\rm o})f_1Z]Y_{\rm o}A_{\rm p}e^{iq\Delta z}/D_{\rm ps}
$$
\n(70)

where

$$
A_{\rm p} = 2Q_1/[(Q_1 + Q)](1 + F_1 GZ)].
$$
\n(71)

5. Application to experiments **on** the premelling **of** ice

The premelting of ice, that is, the existence of a layer of water on the surface of ice below 0^oC, has considerable geophysical importance. The compaction of snow, frost heave, rock fracture, water transport at subzero temperatures, and charge transfer in the electrification **of** thunder clouds are some of **the** topics discussed in a recent review (Dash **1989).** We will discuss some recent optical studies of the surface **of** melting of ice. We begin with the Elbaum rcflcctivity experiment (Elbaum **1991, Elbaum** *el a/* **1992),** since this is simpler to analyse than the ellipsometry work to be discussed later in this section.

Elbaum interpreted **his** data by treating the ice as an isotropic substrate. He measured the p to p reflected intensity, $R_{\text{pp}} = |r_{\text{pp}}|^2$, at the Brewster angle, which was obtained by locating the minimum in R_{pp} at temperatures well below $0^{\circ}C$, when no water layer covercd his ice crystals. **As** the temperature was raised to the melting point, an increased reflectivity was intcrpreted as being caused by a growing water layer, as follows. On the isotropic substrate model, the reflection amplitude is approximated by the first equation of (59):

$$
r_{\rm p} = \frac{F_1 + F_2 Z}{1 + F_1 F_2 Z} \qquad F_1 = \frac{Q - Q_1}{Q + Q_1} \qquad F_2 = \frac{Q_2 - Q_2}{Q_2 + Q} \qquad Z = \exp(2\mathrm{i}q\,\Delta z). \tag{72}
$$

At the Brewster angle for the substrate, $Q_1 = Q_2 = (\omega/c)(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)^{-1/2}$ and $F_1 = -F_2 = F_b$, so

$$
r_{\rm p}(\theta_{\rm b}) = F_{\rm b}(1 - Z)/(1 - F_{\rm b}^2 Z)
$$

\n
$$
R_{\rm p}(\theta_{\rm b}) = 4 F_{\rm b}^2 \sin^2 q_{\rm b} \Delta z/(1 - 2 F_{\rm b}^2 \cos 2q_{\rm b} \Delta z + F_{\rm b}^4)
$$
\n(73)

where q_b is the value taken by q at the substrate Brewster angle $\theta_b = \frac{\alpha \ln(\epsilon_2/\epsilon_1)^{1/2}}{2}$.

$$
q_{\rm b} = (\omega/c)[\epsilon - \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2/(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)]^{1/2}.
$$
 (74)

We *see* that **(73)** gives a quadratic dependence of the reflectance on the thickness Δz of the water layer, provided $q_b \Delta z \ll 1$. This is in accord with the general theory of reflection hy thin layers on isotropic substrates, which gives (Lekner **(1987),** chapter **3)**

$$
R_p(\theta_b) = [(\omega/c)I_1]^2/[4(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)]
$$
\n(75)

as the leading term in the p reflectance at the Brcwster angle, with the integral invariant *I,* taking the value

$$
I_1 = \Delta z \left(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon \right) \left(\epsilon - \epsilon_2 \right) / \epsilon \tag{76}
$$

for a uniform layer (Lekner **(1987), rable** *3-1).*

for ice at 3° C and 633 nm: To estimate $R_p(\theta_b)$ we will use the refractive indices of Furukawa *et al* (1987)

$$
n_o = 1.30763 \qquad n_e = 1.30903 \tag{77}
$$

and $n = 1.3327$ for the water layer (this is the measured value at 0° C and 633 nm). We need ϵ_2 , the dielectric constant of the effectively isotropic substrate, and we obtain this from $\epsilon_0 = n_0^2$ and $\epsilon_e = n_e^2$ by using the formula

$$
\epsilon_2 = (2\epsilon_o + \epsilon_e)/3. \tag{78}
$$

Then (73) gives $R_p(\theta_b) \simeq 7.3 \times 10^{-7}$ when $\Delta z = 10$ nm. Although this is a small reflectivity, it is well above Elbaum's noise level. Using the isotropic suhstrate model, Elbaum interpreted his rellectivity data as indicating premelting on the basal face of ice crystals, with $\Delta z \simeq 10$ nm at about 0.5 °C

We now consider the effect of anistropy of the substrate on the p to p reflectivity. Could the one part per thousand anistropy produce any measurable effect? The surprising answer is that it does, as we shall now see. The $r_{\rm pp}$ reflection amplitude **is** given in (59). We see that it is zero for the base crystalline substrate when $G(Z = 1) = -F_1$, and this equation defines the Brewster θ_B angle for the crystal, which now depends on the crystal orientation. At this angle $F_1 = F_B$, and for thin layers

$$
r_{\rm pp} \to [(G'_{\rm B} - F_{\rm B})/(1 - F_{\rm B}^2)]2{\rm i}q_{\rm B} \Delta z \qquad G' = (\partial G/\partial Z)_{Z=1} \tag{79}
$$

to first order in the layer thickness. From (73) we see that the analogous formula for an isotropic substrate has G' missing. The derivative of $G(Z)$ at $Z = 1$ can be found ffom the defining relations (GO) and (GI):

$$
G' = \frac{(q^2 - q_1^2)k^2k_0^4(q_e - q_o)^2\beta^2(\alpha q_o - \gamma K)(\alpha q_o + \gamma K)N_o^2N_e^2}{[(q_1 + q_e)Y_eS_o - (q_1 + q_0)Y_oS_e]^2}.
$$
\n(80)

We see that it is zero in the isotropic limit, and zero also when $\beta = 0$ or $\alpha q_c =$ $\pm \gamma K$. Numerically we have found it to be small compared to F_B when ice is the substrate. This does not mean that anisotropy has no effect: since θ_B varies with **crystal orientation, so do** F_B **and** q_B **. Upper and lower bounds on** θ_B **have been** found (Lekner 1992b); these occur when $\alpha^2 = 1$ (optic axis parallel to x, as for example in reflection from a prism face of ice with the optic axis in the plane of incidence), and $\gamma^2 = 1$ (optic axis parallel to z , as in reflection from a basal face of ice). The formulae giving θ_B for $\alpha^2 = 1$ and for $\gamma^2 = 1$ are, respectively,

$$
\tan^2 \theta_{\rm B} = \frac{\epsilon_{\rm o}(\epsilon_{\rm e} - \epsilon_1)}{\epsilon_1(\epsilon_{\rm o} - \epsilon_1)} \qquad \tan^2 \theta_{\rm B} = \frac{\epsilon_{\rm e}(\epsilon_{\rm o} - \epsilon_1)}{\epsilon_1(\epsilon_{\rm e} - \epsilon_1)}.
$$
(81)

For ice the Brewster angle upper and lower bounds are 52.66° and 52.55° , a variation of only 0.1°. However, the multiplier of Δz in (79) increases by a factor of 1.25 in going from the $\alpha^2 = 1$ to the $\gamma^2 = 1$ reflection. This enormous amplification, of parts per thousand to one in four, is due to index matching: the refractive indcx of the water layer is close to both indices of ice. To see how it works, consider the isotropic case again. The value of F_b in (73) is

$$
F_{\mathbf{b}} = (r-1)/(r+1) \qquad r = \sqrt{(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)/\epsilon - \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2/\epsilon_2}.
$$
 (82)

This is zero (and $r = 1$) when ϵ is equal to ϵ_1 or ϵ_2 . In the air-water-ice case ϵ is close to ϵ_2 , and *r* is close to unity $(r \approx 0.992)$. Thus the two parts per thousand difference provided by anisotropy in the effective value of ϵ_2 is to be compared to eight parts per thousand in $|r - 1|$: hence the one in four change in the multiplier of Δz . Closer index matching would give still greater effect to anisotropy, but at the expense of a decrease *in* reflectivity at the Brewster angle. **A** more detailed discussion of anisotropy enhancement by index matching is given in appendix B.

For reflection **from** the basal plane there is azimuthal symmetry, and the reflectance is independent of the plane of incidence. For thin layers the reflectivity at the Brewster angle (given by the second formula in **(81))** has a form like **(75)** with

$$
I_1 = \Delta z \left\{ (\epsilon_o \epsilon_e - \epsilon_1^2) / (\epsilon_o - \epsilon_1) - [(\epsilon_e - \epsilon_1) / (\epsilon_o - \epsilon_1)] \epsilon - \epsilon_e \epsilon_1 / \epsilon \right\}.
$$
 (83)

Details may be found in section **7-3** of Lekncr **(19S7),** which also taka into account possible layer anisotropy.

Elbaum observed surface melting only on the basal face. The above factor of **1.25 applies to the greatest possible change in the factor multiplying** Δz **between the** prism and the basal faces. For the basal face, $R_{\text{op}}(\theta_B)$ with θ_B given by the second part of (81) is 8.4×10^{-7} for $\Delta z = 10$ nm, compared to 7.3×10^{-7} for isotropic ice using the ϵ_2 found from (78). This 20% difference in reflectance implies that Elbaum's thickness estimates are likely to be about **10%** high.

We now turn to the ellipsometric experiments, which have the advantage that the ellipsometric signal is proportional to the thickness of the layer resting on the substrate, as opposed to the R_{op} reflectivity at the substrate Brewster angle, which we saw is proportional to the square of the small quantity $\omega \Delta z/c$. What polarization modulation ellipsometry measures in the presence of anisotropy is discussed in appendix **A.** In the absence of this theory, the experiments of Beaglchole and Nason **(1980)** and of Furukawa *cf al* **(1987)** on the premelting of ice had been analysed by assuming ice to be isotropic. In the isotropic case, polarization modulation ellipsometry measures the imaginary part of r_p/r_s at the angle where the real part of r_p/r_s is zero. (This follows also as a limit from the anisotropic case: see the discussion following **(All)** in appendix **A.)** For thin layers we have (see, **for** example, Lekner **(1987),** chapter **3)**

$$
r_{\rm p}/r_{\rm s} = f_{\rm p}/f_{\rm s} - 2\mathrm{i}Q_1K^2I_1/[(Q_1 + Q_2)^2\epsilon_1\epsilon_2] + \dots \tag{84}
$$

where f_p and f_s are the Fresnel reflection amplitudes for the bare substrate, and I_1 is given by (76). To the lowest order in $\omega \Delta z/c$, the real part of r_p/r_s is zero at the substate Brewster angle, $\theta_B = \frac{\text{atn}(n_2/n_1)}{n_1}$. At this angle

$$
\operatorname{Im}(r_{\rm p}/r_{\rm s}) = [\sqrt{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}/(\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1)](\omega/c)I_1 + \dots \tag{85}
$$

How much error in the deduced thickness of the water layer is caused by assuming ice to be isotropic? Since the difference between the ordinary and extraordinary indices of ice is about one part in a thousand, the error might be expected to be. of this order. In fact we found from (A11) that the factor multiplying Δz varied by 25% as the crystal substrate took on differcnt orientations. This was the total variation, with values bcing calculated that were both Iargcr and smaller than predicted by (85). As in the reflectivity case, a reason for the amplification is index matching: the refractive index of water is close to both refractive indices of ice. (For more dctail, see appendix B.) In addition to index matching, there is the presence of the s to p and p to s reflection amplitudes: instead of r_p/r_s , polarization modulation ellipsometry now measures $(r_{\text{pp}} \pm r_{\text{ps}})/(r_{\text{ss}} \pm r_{\text{sp}})$, and (85) becomes only a guide to order of magnitude. Nevertheless, for water on ice the analysis assuming isotropy is correct to within about $\pm 10\%$.

Appendix A. Polarization modulation ellipsometry of anisotropic media

Jasperson and Schnatterly (1969) introduced the technique of sinusoidally varying the polarization of the incident beam in an ellipsometer, with synchronous detection of the intensity modulations. The method **is** currently extensively used by Beaglehole and collaborators. This appendix gives the theory of what is measured by polarization modulation ellipsometry when anisotropy is present. **In** the Beaglehole (1980) ellipsometer, the incident beam passes through a polarizer which gives equal amplitudes of s and p polarization, and then through a birefringent modulator in which the s and p waves get a (periodically modulated) phase shift relative to each other. The beam then reflects from the sample, and passes through an analyser to the detector. The analyser is cycled through two positions, parallel and perpendicular to the polarizer direction. The amplitudes of the p- and s-polarized waves after reflection are given bY

$$
E_{\rm p} = r_{\rm pp} E_{\rm p}^{\rm i} + r_{\rm sp} E_{\rm s}^{\rm i} \qquad E_{\rm s} = r_{\rm ps} E_{\rm p}^{\rm i} + r_{\rm ss} E_{\rm s}^{\rm i} \tag{A1}
$$

where E_p^i and E_s^i are the amplitudes of the incident waves after passing through the polarizer and birefringent modulator. On removing a common factor, these can be written as 1 and $e^{i\delta}$, respectively, where

$$
\delta(t) = A \sin(\Omega t) \tag{A2}
$$

in which $\Omega/2\pi$ is the frequency of the modulator. After reflection the p and s components are thus

$$
r_{\rm pp} + r_{\rm sp} e^{i\delta} \qquad r_{\rm ps} + r_{\rm ss} e^{i\delta} \,. \tag{A3}
$$

The signal detected after passing through the analyser is thus proportional to

$$
|r_{\rm pp} + r_{\rm sp} e^{i\delta} \pm (r_{\rm ps} + r_{\rm ss} e^{i\delta})|^2
$$
 (A4)

where the two signs correspond to the two positions of the analyser. We will write **(A4)**

$$
|u + e^{i\delta} v|^2 = |u|^2 + |v|^2 + 2(u_r v_r + u_i v_i) \cos \delta - 2(u_r v_i - u_i v_r) \sin \delta \tag{A5}
$$

where

$$
u_{\pm} = r_{\text{pp}} \pm r_{\text{ps}} \qquad v_{\pm} = r_{\text{sp}} \pm r_{\text{ss}} \tag{A6}
$$

and $u = u_r + i u_i$, $v = v_r + i v_i$.

The terms $\cos \delta$ and $\sin \delta$ are sinusoidal functions of sinusoidal argument, which we may expand using the Jacobi formulae (Watson (1966), section **2.22)**

$$
\cos(A\sin\Omega t) = J_o(A) + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} J_{2n}(A)\cos(2n\Omega t)
$$

\n
$$
\sin(A\sin\Omega t) = 2\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} J_{2n+1}(A)\sin((2n+1)\Omega t).
$$
 (A7)

It is usual to adjust the voltage on the birefringent modulator so as to make $J_0(A)$ = 0 (this requires $A \simeq 2.4048$ radians or about 138^o, for the lowest root of *J_n*). The DC component of *(As)* is then

DC:
$$
|u|^2 + |v|^2
$$
 (A8)

For any value of the A the Ω and 2Ω components (measured by lock-in amplifiers) are

$$
\Omega: -4J_1(A)(u_r v_i - u_i v_r) \qquad 2\Omega: 4J_2(A)(u_r v_r + u_i v_i). \qquad (A9)
$$

Note that

$$
u/v = [u_r v_r + u_i v_i - i(u_r v_i - u_i v_r)]/|v|^2
$$
 (A10)

so the 2Ω and Ω signals are proportional to the real and imaginary parts of

$$
(u/v)_{\pm} = (r_{\rm pp} \pm r_{\rm ps})/(r_{\rm sp} \pm r_{\rm ss}) = \pm (r_{\rm pp} \pm r_{\rm ps})/(r_{\rm ss} \pm r_{\rm sp}).
$$
 (A11)

In the isotropic case $(u/v)_+ \rightarrow \pm r_p/r_s$, and the Beaglehole measurements are of Im(r_p/r_s) at the ellipsometric Brewster angle where $Re(r_p/r_s) = 0$. In the anisotropic case one may **(for** example) deline the ellipsometric Brewster angle by the zero of the difference of the $(2\Omega/DC)_+$ signals.

Appendix **B.** Enhancement **of** anisotropy **hy** index matching

We consider the p to p reflection first. The dominant factor in r_{pp} for thin layers is, from **(79),**

$$
F_{\rm B} = [(Q - Q_1)/(Q + Q_1)]_{\theta_{\rm B}} \equiv (R - 1)/(R + 1). \tag{B1}
$$

For an isotropic substrate the corresponding factor is

$$
F_{\mathbf{b}} = (r-1)/(r+1) \qquad r^2 = (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)/\epsilon - \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2/\epsilon_2. \tag{B2}
$$

The ratio $R = (Q/Q_1)_{\theta_B}$ depends on the Brewster angle, which varies between the extremes given in (81). At any angle

$$
R^{2} = \left[\epsilon - (cK/\omega)^{2}\right]/\left[\epsilon_{1} - (cK/\omega)^{2}\right]\epsilon_{1}^{2}/\epsilon^{2}.
$$
 (B3)

For $\alpha^2 = 1$ (optic axis parallel to x), we have

$$
(cK_{\rm B}/\omega)^2 = \epsilon_1 \epsilon_{\rm o} (\epsilon_{\rm e} - \epsilon_1) / (\epsilon_{\rm o} \epsilon_{\rm e} - \epsilon_1^2)
$$
 (B4)

$$
R^{2} = \frac{\epsilon(\epsilon_{0}\epsilon_{e} - \epsilon_{1}^{2}) - \epsilon_{1}\epsilon_{0}(\epsilon_{e} - \epsilon_{1})}{\epsilon^{2}(\epsilon_{0} - \epsilon_{1})} = r^{2} + \frac{(\epsilon - \epsilon_{1})(\epsilon_{1} + 2\epsilon_{2})\Delta\epsilon}{3\epsilon^{2}(\epsilon_{2} - \epsilon_{1})} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta\epsilon)^{2}.
$$
 (B5)

For $\gamma^2 = 1$ (optic axis parallel to z), the corresponding values are

$$
(cK_{\rm B}/\omega)^2 = \epsilon_1 \epsilon_{\rm e} (\epsilon_{\rm o} - \epsilon_1) / (\epsilon_{\rm o} \epsilon_{\rm e} - \epsilon_1^2)
$$
 (B6)

$$
R^{2} = \frac{\epsilon(\epsilon_{0}\epsilon_{e} - \epsilon_{1}^{2}) - \epsilon_{1}\epsilon_{e}(\epsilon_{o} - \epsilon_{1})}{\epsilon^{2}(\epsilon_{e} - \epsilon_{1})} = r^{2} - \frac{(\epsilon - \epsilon_{1})(2\epsilon_{1} + \epsilon_{2})\Delta\epsilon}{3\epsilon^{2}(\epsilon_{2} - \epsilon_{1})} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta\epsilon)^{2}.
$$
 (B7)

The change in $F_{\rm B}$ between the x and z orientations of the optic axis of the substrate is

$$
\Delta F_{\mathcal{B}} = F_{\mathcal{B}}(\alpha^2 = 1) - F_{\mathcal{B}}(\gamma^2 = 1)
$$

= $(\epsilon - \epsilon_1)(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) \Delta \epsilon / [\epsilon^2 (\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1) r (r + 1)^2] + O(\Delta \epsilon)^2$. (B8)

Thus the fractional change in the multiplier of Δz in the reflection amplitude r_{pp} is approximately

$$
\Delta F_{\rm B}/F_{\rm b} = (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) \Delta \epsilon / [(\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1)(\epsilon_2 - \epsilon)r] + {\rm O}(\Delta \epsilon)^2.
$$
 (B9)

(The exact change can be found from (79). We have omitted the factor $q_B(1 - G'_B/F_B)/(1 - F_B^2)$; for water on ice this has small variation compared to that of F_B .) We see from (B9) that the enhancement of the effect of the anisotropy $\Delta \epsilon = \epsilon_{e} - \epsilon_{0}$ is achieved in direct proportion that the dielectric constant ϵ of the overlayer is matched to the average dielectric constant $\epsilon_2 = (2\epsilon_0 + \epsilon_e)/3$ of the crystal substrate. When $\epsilon = \epsilon_2$, $r = 1$ and F_b is zero: thus for close matching we obtain a large enhancement of anisotropy, at the expense of weak reflectivity. Conversely, if the ratio given in (69) is small compared to unity, anisotropy in the substrate can be neglected. For air-water-ice the ratio in (B9) ≈ -0.22 (left-hand side -0.2165 , right-hand side **-0,2167);** thus anisotropy **is** appreciable **but** not dominant for this system.

We now briefly discuss the enhancement of anisotropy by index matching in ellipsometric measurement. The reflection amplitude r_{pp} at the substrate Brewster angle $\theta_{\rm B}$ is given by (79). It is of first order in the overlayer thickness, and pure imaginary in the thin-film limit. The other reflection amplitudes are \bar{r}_{ss} , \bar{r}_{ss} and \bar{r}_{ps} (all real), plus imaginary parts that are first order in the layer thickness. For r_{sp} and r_{ps} the magnitude of the imaginary part is proportional to the real part (see figure 1). It follows from (79) that the Ω signal (see appendix A) which is proportional to the imaginary part of $\pm (r_{\text{pp}} \pm r_{\text{ps}})/(r_{\text{ss}} \pm r_{\text{sp}})$, is approximately $\pm \text{Im}(r_{\text{pp}})/\overline{r}_{\text{ss}}$, provided \overline{r}_{ps} and \overline{r}_{sp} are small in magnitude compared to F_B . It then follows from the arguments given earlier in this appendix that the fractional change in $\text{Im}(r_{\text{pp}})$ as θ varies between its extremes is given approximately by (B9). **Thus** the magnitude of (B9) also provides a guide to the importance of anisotropy on the Ω component of polarization modulation ellipsometry: if $\Delta F_B/F_b$ is small, anisotropy is unimportant, provided also that \overline{r}_{sp} and \overline{r}_{ps} are small in magnitude compared to F_{B} . **pp.**

Acknowledgments

The author has enjoyed many stimulating conversations with Professor David Beaglehole, and has benefited from the **comments of the referees.**

Refeerences

Beaglehole D 1980 *Physic0* B **1W ¹⁶³ Beaglehole D and Nason D 1980** *Su\$ Sci* **96** *³⁵¹* Born M and Wolf E 1965 Principles of Optics (Oxford: Pergamon) **Dash J** *G* **1989** *Conremnp. Phys.* **30 89 Eidner K, Mayer** *G,* **Schmidt M and Schmicdel H 1989** *MOL csfn Liq.* **Ctysi. 172 191 Elbaum M 1991 Oplial search for surface melling** *of* **ice** *HID* **niesir University** of **Wshington Elbaum M, lipon S G and Dash** J *G* **1992** *J. CI)SL Growlh* **at press Furukawa Y, Yamamoto M and Kumda T 1987** *1* **Ctysi,** *Growih 82 65* **Jasperson S N and Schnatterly S E 1969 Rev. Sci. Instrum. 40 761** Lekner J 1987 Theory of Reflection (Dordrecht: Nijhoff/Kluwer)
- 1991 *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 3 6121 Elbaum M, Lipson S G and Dash J G 1992
Furukawa Y, Yamamoto M and Kuroda T
Jasperson S N and Schnatterly S E 1969 Re
Lekner J 1987 Theory of Reflection (Dordree
- 1991 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 4 138
- 1992a J. Phys.: Cond Furukawa Y, Yamamoto M and Kuroda T 19
Jasperson S N and Schnatterly S E 1969 Rex
Lekner J 1987 Theory of Reflection (Dordrech
- 1991 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 4 1387
- 1992b J. Phys.: Condens. Matter submitte Jasperson S N and Schnatterly S E 1969 Rev. S.
Lekner J 1987 Theory of Reflection (Dordrecht:
-- 1991 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 3 6121
-- 1992b J. Phys.: Condens. Matter submitted
Watson G N 1966 Theory of Bessel Functions **Wilson** *G* **N 1966** *Thcoty of Basel Funclions* **(Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) W6hler H, Haas** *G,* **Fitsch M and Mlqnski D A 1988** *1 Op* **Soc.** *Am.* **A 5 1554**